



SPECIAL PURPOSE AUDIT REPORTS

Fiscal year ended June 30, 2016



*Serving Blaine, Spring
Lake Park and Fridley.*

INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 16
SPRING LAKE PARK, MINNESOTA

Special Purpose Audit Reports on

Single Audit,
Internal Controls, and
Compliance with Laws and Regulations

Year Ended
June 30, 2016

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 16

Special Purpose Audit Reports
Year Ended June 30, 2016

Table of Contents

| | Page |
|---|-------|
| Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards | 1 |
| Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> | 2-3 |
| Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance | 4-6 |
| Independent Auditor's Report on Minnesota Legal Compliance | 7 |
| Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs | 8-10 |
| Independent Auditor's Report on Uniform Financial Accounting and Reporting Standards Compliance Table | 11-12 |
| Uniform Financial Accounting and Reporting Standards Compliance Table | 13-14 |

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 16

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards
Year Ended June 30, 2016

| Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title | Federal CFDA No. | Federal Expenditures | Passed Through to Subrecipients | Noncash Assistance |
|---|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| U.S. Department of Agriculture | | | | |
| Passed through Minnesota Department of Education | | | | |
| Child nutrition cluster | | | | |
| School Breakfast Program | 10.553 | \$ 237,122 | | |
| National School Lunch Program | 10.555 | 1,205,982 | | \$ 195,020 |
| Summer Food Service Program for Children | 10.559 | 61,040 | | |
| Total child nutrition cluster | | \$ 1,504,144 | | |
| U.S. Department of Education | | | | |
| Passed through Minnesota Department of Education | | | | |
| Special education cluster | | | | |
| Special Education – Grants to States | 84.027 | 976,380 | | |
| Special Education – Preschool Grants | 84.173 | 18,895 | | |
| Total special education cluster | | 995,275 | | |
| Supporting Effective Instruction State Grant | 84.367 | 123,946 | | |
| English Language Acquisition State Grants | 84.365 | 71,744 | | |
| Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies | 84.010 | 476,704 | \$ 7,205 | |
| Special Education – Grants for Infants and Families | 84.181 | 26,776 | | |
| Passed through Northeast Metropolitan Intermediate School District No. 916 | | | | |
| Career and Technical Education – Basic Grants to States | 84.048 | 8,193 | | |
| Total federal awards | | \$ 3,206,782 | | |

Note 1: The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is prepared on the accrual basis of accounting. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with both the OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Nonprofit Organizations*, and the OMB's *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards*, when applicable. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from the amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the District's basic financial statements.

Note 2: All pass-through entities listed above use the same CFDA numbers as the federal grantors to identify these grants, and have not assigned any additional identifying numbers.

Note 3: The District did not elect to use the 10 percent de minimis indirect cost rate.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER
FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS
BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN
ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the School Board and Management of
Independent School District No. 16
Spring Lake Park, Minnesota

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Independent School District No. 16 (the District) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 16, 2016.

INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the District's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or, significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

(continued)

COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Malloy, Montague, Karnowski, Radosevich & Co., P.A.

Minneapolis, Minnesota
November 16, 2016



PRINCIPALS

Thomas M. Montague, CPA
Thomas A. Karnowski, CPA
Paul A. Radosevich, CPA
William J. Lauer, CPA
James H. Eichten, CPA
Aaron J. Nielsen, CPA
Victoria L. Holinka, CPA

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR
EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM; REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL
OVER COMPLIANCE; AND REPORT ON THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES
OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

To the School Board and Management of
Independent School District No. 16
Spring Lake Park, Minnesota

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM

We have audited Independent School District No. 16's (the District) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) *Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the District's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2016. The District's major federal programs are identified in the Summary of Auditor's Results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSIBILITY

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

AUDITOR'S RESPONSIBILITY

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the District's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the District's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the District's compliance.

(continued)

OPINION ON EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM

In our opinion, the District complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to on the previous page that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2016.

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE

Management of the District is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to on the previous page. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the District's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and, therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, we identified a deficiency in internal control over compliance, as described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as item 2016-001, that we consider to be a significant deficiency.

DISTRICT'S RESPONSE TO FINDING

The District's response to the internal control over compliance finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. The District's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

(continued)

REPORT ON SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the District as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated November 16, 2016, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Malloy, Montague, Karnowski, Radosevich & Co., P.A.

Minneapolis, Minnesota
November 16, 2016

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT
ON MINNESOTA LEGAL COMPLIANCE

To the School Board and Management of
Independent School District No. 16
Spring Lake Park, Minnesota

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Independent School District No. 16 (the District) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 16, 2016.

MINNESOTA LEGAL COMPLIANCE

The *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for School Districts*, promulgated by the State Auditor pursuant to Minnesota Statute § 6.65, contains seven categories of compliance to be tested: contracting and bidding, deposits and investments, conflicts of interest, public indebtedness, claims and disbursements, miscellaneous provisions, and uniform financial accounting and reporting standards for school districts. Our audit considered all of the listed categories.

In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the District failed to comply with the provisions of the *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for School Districts*. However, our audit was not directed primarily toward obtaining knowledge of such noncompliance. Accordingly, had we performed additional procedures, other matters may have come to our attention regarding the District's noncompliance with the above referenced provisions.

PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on compliance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Malloy, Montague, Karnowski, Radosevich & Co., P.A.

Minneapolis, Minnesota
November 16, 2016

INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 16

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended June 30, 2016

A. SUMMARY OF AUDIT RESULTS

This summary is formatted to provide federal granting agencies and pass-through agencies answers to specific questions regarding the audit of federal awards.

Financial Statements

What type of auditor's report is issued? X Unmodified
 Qualified
 Adverse
 Disclaimer

Internal control over financial reporting:

Material weakness(es) identified? Yes X No
Significant deficiency(ies) identified? Yes X None reported
Noncompliance material to the financial statements noted? Yes X No

Federal Awards

Internal controls over major federal award programs:

Material weakness(es) identified? Yes X No
Significant deficiency(ies) identified? X Yes None reported

Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs?

U.S. Department of Agriculture child nutrition cluster Unmodified

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516(a)? X Yes No

Programs tested as major programs:

| <u>Program or Cluster</u> | <u>CFDA No.</u> |
|---|-----------------|
| The U.S. Department of Agriculture child nutrition cluster consisting of: | |
| – School Breakfast Program | 10.553 |
| – National School Lunch Program | 10.555 |
| – Summer Food Service Program for Children | 10.559 |

Threshold for distinguishing type A and B programs: \$ 750,000

Does the auditee qualify as a low-risk auditee? Yes No X

INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 16

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (continued)
Year Ended June 30, 2016

B. FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

None.

C. FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

**SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCY IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE – ALL FEDERAL PROGRAMS
AWARDED UNDER UNIFORM GUIDANCE**

**2016-001 Internal Controls Over Compliance With Cash Management, Allowable Costs,
Subrecipient Monitoring, and Standards for Financial Management**

Criteria – 2 CFR § 200.302(b)(5), (6), and (7) requires Independent School District No. 16 (the District) to have written cash management procedures, which includes procedures for determining the allowability of costs in accordance with 2 CFR 200 Subpart E – Cost Principles, as well as a required written budget to actual comparison of expenditures for each federal award. In instances where the District grants subawards, 2 CFR § 200.331 requires the District, as a pass-through entity, to have written subrecipient monitoring policies and procedures that include a written risk assessment of each subrecipient and documentation of the District's monitoring of the subrecipient.

Condition – During our audit, we noted that the District did not have documented written controls to ensure compliance with the U.S. Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance) cash management, allowable costs, subrecipient monitoring, and financial management standards.

Questioned Costs – None. Our testing did not indicate any instances of noncompliance.

Context – The lack of written controls pertains to all federal grants, except those grants that remained under OMB A-133 guidance, if any. This was not a statistically valid sample.

Repeat Finding – This is a current year finding only.

Cause – This is the first year of implementation of the new Uniform Guidance requirements for federal awards, and some internal control policies, including cash management, allowable costs, subrecipient monitoring, and financial management were not updated to reflect the necessary changes.

Effect – This could be viewed as a violation of the award agreement.

INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 16

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (continued)
Year Ended June 30, 2016

C. FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED)

**SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCY IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE – ALL FEDERAL PROGRAMS
AWARDED UNDER UNIFORM GUIDANCE (CONTINUED)**

**2016-001 Internal Controls Over Compliance With Cash Management, Allowable Costs,
Subrecipient Monitoring, and Standards for Financial Management (continued)**

Recommendation – We recommend that the District review its internal control procedures relating to cash management, allowable costs, subrecipient monitoring, and financial management for all federal programs. The District should review the new Uniform Guidance to obtain a better understanding of the new requirements and identify any needed policy and procedure changes, in addition to those already referenced above. We also recommend the District adopt written policies pertaining to cash management, allowable costs, subrecipient monitoring, and financial management for all federal programs. The District should also document and perform regular budget to actual comparison of expenditures for each federal award. Finally, we recommend the District identify subrecipients and perform written risk assessments as well as documenting ongoing monitoring of each subrecipient.

View of Responsible Official and Planned Corrective Actions – The District agrees with the finding. The District is in the process of reviewing and updating its written policies and procedures relating to cash management, allowable costs, subrecipient monitoring, and financial management standards for its federal programs to ensure compliance with Uniform Guidance in the future. The District has separately issued a Corrective Action Plan related to this finding.

D. MINNESOTA LEGAL COMPLIANCE FINDINGS

None.



PRINCIPALS

Thomas M. Montague, CPA
Thomas A. Karnowski, CPA
Paul A. Radosevich, CPA
William J. Lauer, CPA
James H. Eichten, CPA
Aaron J. Nielsen, CPA
Victoria L. Holinka, CPA

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON
UNIFORM FINANCIAL ACCOUNTING AND
REPORTING STANDARDS COMPLIANCE TABLE

To the School Board and Management of
Independent School District No. 16
Spring Lake Park, Minnesota

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Independent School District No. 16 (the District) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 16, 2016.

Auditing standards referred to in the previous paragraph require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement. An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the District's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements. The accompanying Uniform Financial Accounting and Reporting Standards (UFARS) Compliance Table is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Minnesota Department of Education (MDE), and is not a required part of the basic financial statements of the District. The UFARS Compliance Table is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the UFARS Compliance Table is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

(continued)

The purpose of this report on the UFARS Compliance Table required by the MDE is solely to describe the scope of our testing of the UFARS Compliance Table and the results of that testing based on our audit. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Malloy, Montague, Karnowski, Radosevich & Co., P.A.

Minneapolis, Minnesota

November 16, 2016

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 16

Uniform Financial Accounting and Reporting Standards
Compliance Table
June 30, 2016

| | | Audit | UFARS | Audit – UFARS |
|--------------------------|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| General Fund | | | | |
| Total revenue | | \$ 61,532,567 | \$ 61,532,567 | \$ – |
| Total expenditures | | \$ 57,209,530 | \$ 57,209,532 | \$ (2) |
| Nonspendable | | | | |
| 460 | Nonspendable fund balance | \$ 151,183 | \$ 151,183 | \$ – |
| Restricted | | | | |
| 403 | Staff development | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| 405 | Deferred maintenance | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| 406 | Health and safety | \$ (137,917) | \$ (137,917) | \$ – |
| 407 | Capital projects levy | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| 408 | Cooperative revenue | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| 409 | Alternative facility program | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| 413 | Project funded by COP | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| 414 | Operating debt | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| 416 | Levy reduction | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| 417 | Taconite building maintenance | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| 423 | Certain teacher programs | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| 424 | Operating capital | \$ 1,036,832 | \$ 1,036,832 | \$ – |
| 426 | \$25 taconite | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| 427 | Disabled accessibility | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| 428 | Learning and development | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| 434 | Area learning center | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| 435 | Contracted alternative programs | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| 436 | State approved alternative program | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| 438 | Gifted and talented | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| 440 | Teacher development and evaluation | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| 441 | Basic skills programs | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| 445 | Career and technical programs | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| 448 | Achievement and integration | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| 449 | Safe schools levy | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| 450 | Pre-kindergarten | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| 451 | QZAB payments | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| 452 | OPEB liability not in trust | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| 453 | Unfunded severance and retirement levy | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| 464 | Restricted fund balance | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| 467 | Long-term facilities maintenance | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| Committed | | | | |
| 418 | Committed for separation | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| 461 | Committed fund balance | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| Assigned | | | | |
| 462 | Assigned fund balance | \$ 5,467,187 | \$ 5,467,187 | \$ – |
| Unassigned | | | | |
| 422 | Unassigned fund balance | \$ 17,646,475 | \$ 17,646,475 | \$ – |
| Food Service | | | | |
| Total revenue | | \$ 2,927,530 | \$ 2,927,531 | \$ (1) |
| Total expenditures | | \$ 2,864,158 | \$ 2,864,158 | \$ – |
| Nonspendable | | | | |
| 460 | Nonspendable fund balance | \$ 30,924 | \$ 30,924 | \$ – |
| Restricted | | | | |
| 452 | OPEB liability not in trust | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| 464 | Restricted fund balance | \$ 391,127 | \$ 391,127 | \$ – |
| Unassigned | | | | |
| 463 | Unassigned fund balance | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| Community Service | | | | |
| Total revenue | | \$ 3,178,915 | \$ 3,178,914 | \$ 1 |
| Total expenditures | | \$ 3,173,754 | \$ 3,173,754 | \$ – |
| Nonspendable | | | | |
| 460 | Nonspendable fund balance | \$ 7,232 | \$ 7,232 | \$ – |
| Restricted | | | | |
| 426 | \$25 taconite | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| 431 | Community education | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| 432 | ECFE | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| 440 | Teacher development and evaluation | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| 444 | School readiness | \$ 580 | \$ 580 | \$ – |
| 447 | Adult basic education | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| 452 | OPEB liability not in trust | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| 464 | Restricted fund balance | \$ 8,250 | \$ 8,250 | \$ – |
| Unassigned | | | | |
| 463 | Unassigned fund balance | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |

INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 16

Uniform Financial Accounting and Reporting Standards
Compliance Table (continued)
June 30, 2016

| | | Audit | UFARS | Audit – UFARS |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Building Construction | | | | |
| Total revenue | | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| Total expenditures | | \$ 19,370 | \$ 19,370 | \$ – |
| Nonspendable | | | | |
| 460 | Nonspendable fund balance | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| Restricted | | | | |
| 407 | Capital projects levy | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| 409 | Alternative facility program | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| 413 | Project funded by COP | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| 467 | Long-term facilities maintenance | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| 464 | Restricted fund balance | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| Unassigned | | | | |
| 463 | Unassigned fund balance | \$ (19,370) | \$ (19,370) | \$ – |
| Debt Service | | | | |
| Total revenue | | \$ 9,819,362 | \$ 9,819,362 | \$ – |
| Total expenditures | | \$ 11,623,197 | \$ 11,623,197 | \$ – |
| Nonspendable | | | | |
| 460 | Nonspendable fund balance | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| Restricted | | | | |
| 425 | Bond refundings | \$ 32,176,443 | \$ 32,176,443 | \$ – |
| 451 | QZAB payments | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| 464 | Restricted fund balance | \$ 2,265,398 | \$ 2,265,398 | \$ – |
| Unassigned | | | | |
| 463 | Unassigned fund balance | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| Trust | | | | |
| Total revenue | | \$ 78,444 | \$ 78,444 | \$ – |
| Total expenditures | | \$ 43,800 | \$ 43,800 | \$ – |
| 422 | Net position | \$ 115,960 | \$ 115,960 | \$ – |
| Internal Service | | | | |
| Total revenue | | \$ 4,954,295 | \$ 4,954,295 | \$ – |
| Total expenditures | | \$ 4,434,194 | \$ 4,434,192 | \$ 2 |
| 422 | Net position | \$ 1,156,986 | \$ 1,156,986 | \$ – |
| OPEB Revocable Trust Fund | | | | |
| Total revenue | | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| Total expenditures | | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| 422 | Net position | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| OPEB Irrevocable Trust Fund | | | | |
| Total revenue | | \$ 305,097 | \$ 305,097 | \$ – |
| Total expenditures | | \$ 86,019 | \$ 86,019 | \$ – |
| 422 | Net position | \$ 6,143,445 | \$ 6,143,444 | \$ 1 |
| OPEB Debt Service Fund | | | | |
| Total revenue | | \$ 685,550 | \$ 685,550 | \$ – |
| Total expenditures | | \$ 650,770 | \$ 650,770 | \$ – |
| Nonspendable | | | | |
| 460 | Nonspendable fund balance | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| Restricted | | | | |
| 425 | Bond refundings | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| 464 | Restricted fund balance | \$ 113,965 | \$ 113,965 | \$ – |
| Unassigned | | | | |
| 463 | Unassigned fund balance | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |

Note: Statutory restricted deficits, if any, are reported in unassigned fund balances in the financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK